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How does the dashboard work?

5 policy dimensions

1. Promote institutional coherence
2. Reduce the costs of migration
3. Protect the rights of migrants and their families
4. Promote the (re)integration of migrants
5. Enhance the development impact of migration
Within country-analysis

Distribution of **German** scores across policy dimensions

1. Institutional Coherence
2. Cost of Migration
3. Rights
4. Integration/Reintegration
5. Migration and Development
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Within country-analysis

Distribution of Moroccan scores across policy dimensions

1. Institutional Coherence
2. Cost of Migration
3. Rights
4. Integration/Reintegration
5. Migration and Development
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Multi-country comparison at the policy dimension level

Policy and institutional coherence in Germany by policy dimension

1. Institutional Coherence
2. Cost of Migration
3. Rights
4. Integration/ Reintegration
5. Migration and Development

Germany
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Multi-country comparison at the policy dimension level

Policy and institutional coherence in Morocco by policy dimension

- 1. Institutional Coherence
- 2. Cost of Migration
- 3. Rights
- 4. Integration/Reintegration
- 5. Migration and Development

Policy and institutional coherence in Morocco by policy dimension.
Multi-country comparison at the policy dimension level

Normalised PICMD scores for dimension 1 on institutional coherence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Germany</th>
<th>Jamaica</th>
<th>Philippines</th>
<th>Moldova</th>
<th>Netherlands</th>
<th>Switzerland</th>
<th>Sweden</th>
<th>Cabo Verde</th>
<th>Portugal</th>
<th>Morocco</th>
<th>Serbia</th>
<th>Kenya</th>
<th>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</th>
<th>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</th>
<th>Sri Lanka</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
### Presenting the final results

**Traffic light table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Institutional Coherence</th>
<th>Reducing costs</th>
<th>Migrants’ Rights</th>
<th>(Re)integration</th>
<th>Development Impact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia &amp; Herzegovina</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cabo Verde</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Germany</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamaica</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moldova</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Morocco</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Netherlands</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serbia</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sri Lanka</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinidad &amp; Tobago</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note: Red (0.0-5.0); Orange (>5.0-7.5); Green (>7.5).*
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